

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/669,866 | Applicant(s) ZYBURA ET AL. |
| | Examiner SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA | Art Unit 2166 |

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Allowed(1) SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher J. Culberson Reg. 59,136

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2009**Time:** 11:15 AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Discussed claims 1-9, 11, 13-19, 21-25, 29-33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sirrama Channavajjala/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 10 June 2009, a telephone call was made to applicant's representative Attorney,Christopher J. Culberson, Regd.No. 59,136 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,4,9,11,13,17,19,25,29 and cancelling claims 2-3,5-8,14-16,18,21-24,28,30-33, amendment to specification at page 21-22 in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.